

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '00 versus 2nd Quarter '01

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 64 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case - 970) and "total decrease" in ADP (3,261). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 970 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 3,261 ADP. Subtracting 970 from 3,261 produces the overall decrease of 2,291 between the second quarter of 2000 and the second quarter of 2001.
2. The Riverside ADP increase of 170 is 6.4% of the total increase of 970. Twenty-seven jurisdictions had increases (down to Sierra Sheriff's Department) with two jurisdictions reporting no increase. When you get to Yolo Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 970).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Department to Kern Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 3,261 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Riverside Sheriff's Department to Sacramento Sheriff's Department) is 58.5%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 59% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Alameda, Orange and Kern Sheriff's Departments) account for about 61% of the decreases.